THE HONORABLE SHARON GLEASON Law Office of Suzanne Lee Elliott Suite 339 2 2400 N.W. 80th Street Seattle, Washington 98117 3 Phone (206) 623-0291 Email: suzanne-elliott@msn.com 4 5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 3:16-cr-0086-SLG-DMS Plaintiff. 6 7 MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS 7, 8, 12 VS. AND 16 8 JOHN PEARL SMITH, II, 9 Defendant. 10 11 I. INTRODUCTION 12 In Counts 7 and 8 the Government has accused Mr. Smith of using a firearm during a 13 drug trafficking crime and causing the deaths of Ms. Denardi and Mr. Gross. In Counts 12 14 and 16 the Government has accused Mr. Smith of using a firearm in relationship to the 15 robberies of Ms. Holland and Mr. Barry. See 18 U.S.C. 924 (j)1 and (c)(1)(A)2. Because 16 ¹ (j) A person who, in the course of a violation of subsection (c), causes the death of a 17 person through the use of a firearm, shall--(1) if the killing is a murder (as defined in section 1111), be punished by death or by 18 imprisonment for any term of years or for life; and (2) if the killing is manslaughter (as defined in section 1112), be punished as provided in 19 that section. ² (c)(1)(A) Except to the extent that a greater minimum sentence is otherwise provided by 20 this subsection or by any other provision of law, any person who, during and in relation to 21 any crime of violence or drug trafficking crime (including a crime of violence or drug trafficking crime that provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a 22 deadly or dangerous weapon or device) for which the person may be prosecuted in a court

violence or drug trafficking crime--

23

24

25

years; and

of the United States, uses or carries a firearm, or who, in furtherance of any such crime,

(ii) if the firearm is brandished, be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of not less than 7

possesses a firearm, shall, in addition to the punishment provided for such crime of

(i) be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of not less than 5 years;

1	the Government cannot justify these significant burdens – criminal convictions carrying
2	mandatory minimum sentences - on Mr. Smith Second Amendment right to keep and bear
3	arms by showing they are "consistent with the Nation's historical tradition of firearm
4	regulation" as of 1791, when the amendment was enacted, these counts must be dismissed.
5	New York State Rifle & Pistol Assn., Inc. V. Bruen, - U.S 2022 WL 2654232 (2022).
6	II. ARGUMENT
7	
8	In <i>Bruen</i> , the Court clarified and explained the methodology to be used in
9	addressing Second Amendment claims in light of a New York licensing scheme which
10	allowed authorities to deny concealed-carry permits even where an applicant met certain
	threshold criteria. <i>Id.</i> at 2022 WL 2251305 at *5-*6. The Court changed the methodology
11	to be used in addressing Second Amendment claims. The Court rejected "a 'two-step'
12	framework" involving "means-end scrutiny" in use by various appellate courts and instead
13	clarified that the appropriate consideration is only the "constitutional text and history." <i>Id.</i>
14	at 2022 WL 2251305 at *7-10. When there is a Second Amendment challenge, courts
15	must "assess whether modern firearms regulations are consistent with the Second
16	
17	Amendment's text and historical understanding." <i>Id.</i> at 2022 WL 2251305 at *12.
18	[W] e hold that when the Second Amendment's plain text covers an
19	individual's conduct, the Constitution presumptively protects that conduct. To justify its regulation, the government may not simply posit
	that the regulation promotes an important interest. Rather, the
20	government must demonstrate that the regulation is consistent with this Nation's historical tradition of firearm regulation. Only if a firearm
21	regulation is consistent with this Nation's historical tradition may a court conclude that the individual's conduct falls outside the Second
22	Amendment's "unqualified command."
23	
24	(iii) if the firearm is discharged, be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of not less than 10 years.
25	

1	The Court acknowledged that "historical analysis can be difficult; it sometimes
2	requires resolving threshold questions, and making nuanced judgments about which
3	evidence to consult and how to interpret it." Id. at, 2022 WL 2251305 at *12 (quoting
4	McDonald v. City of Chicago, 561 U.S. 742, 803-04, 130 S.Ct. 3020, 177 L.Ed.2d 894
5	(2010) (Scalia, J., concurring)). The Court allowed that this analysis might require the use
6	of "historical analogies," whether because of "unprecedented societal concerns or dramatic
7	technological changes." Bruen, 2022 WL 2251305, at 12. But, the Court reiterated "the
8	Amendment's operative clause," that " 'the right of the people to keep and bear Arms shall
9	not be infringed," "to mean that "'guarantees the individual right to possess and carry
10	weapons in case of confrontation' that does not depend on service in the militia." Bruen,
11	2022 WL 2251305, at *9 (quoting <i>District of Columbia v. Heller</i> , 554 U.S. 570 , 592
12	(2008).
13	18 U.S.C. §§922(j) and 9 (c)(1)(A) plainly burden Mr. Smith's Second
14	Amendment right to keep and bear arms. As a result, in order to overcome this motion to
15	dismiss, the <i>Government</i> must demonstrate that in 1791, there was statutory or common
16	law that allowed that made it an crime to carry a firearm while engaged in specific
17	criminal activity related to controlled substances or that allowed the Government to
18	impose uniquely onerous mandatory sentences base solely on the use of a firearm.
19	Signed this day of 23 rd day of July 2022.
20	/s/Suzanne Lee Elliott
21	Law Office of Suzanne Lee Elliott Suite 339
22	2400 N.W. 80 th Street Seattle, Washington 98117
23	Email: suzanne-elliott@msn.com
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I, MARK A. LARRAÑAGA, hereby certify that on July X, 2022, I filed foregoing
3	document with the United States District Court's Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF)
4	system, which will serve one copy by email on Assistant United States Attorneys KAREN
5	VANDERGAW, JAMES KLUGMAN AND CHRISTOPHER SCHROEDER.
6	
7	/s/ Mark A. Larrañaga Mark A. Larrañaga
8	140 Lakeside Ave, Suite A-338 Seattle, WA 98122
9	206.972.0152 mark@jamlegal.com
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	